

UNCONDITIONALLY CONVERGENT MULTIPLIERS AND BESSEL SEQUENCES

CARMEN FERNÁNDEZ, ANTONIO GALBIS AND EVA PRIMO

ABSTRACT. We prove that every unconditionally summable sequence in a Hilbert space can be factorized as the product of a square summable scalar sequence and a Bessel sequence. Some consequences on the representation of unconditionally convergent multipliers are obtained, thus providing positive answers to a conjecture by Balazs and Stoeva in some particular cases.

1. INTRODUCTION

A *multiplier* on a separable Hilbert space H is a bounded operator

$$M_{m,\Phi,\Psi} : H \rightarrow H, \quad f \mapsto \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} m_n \langle f, \Psi_n \rangle \Phi_n,$$

where $\Phi = (\Phi_n)_n$ and $\Psi = (\Psi_n)_n$ are sequences in H and $m = (m_n)_n$ is a scalar sequence called the symbol. The multiplier is said to be unconditionally convergent if the above series converges unconditionally for every $f \in H$. For any (unconditionally convergent) multiplier $M_{m,\Phi,\Psi}$ its adjoint $M_{\overline{m},\Psi,\Phi}$ is also a (unconditionally convergent) multiplier.

Observe that each bounded operator T on H can be expressed as a multiplier: if $(u_n)_n$ is an orthonormal basis, we can take $\Phi_n = Tu_n$, $\Psi_n = u_n$ (alternatively $\Phi_n = u_n$, $\Psi_n = T^*u_n$) and $m_n = 1$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

In the case that $\Phi = (\Phi_n)_n$ and $\Psi = (\Psi_n)_n$ are Bessel sequences in H and $m \in \ell^\infty$ the operator $M_{m,\Phi,\Psi}$ is called a Bessel multiplier. Recall that $\Psi = (\Psi_n)_n$ is called a *Bessel sequence* if there is a constant $B > 0$ such that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\langle f, \Psi_n \rangle|^2 \leq B \|f\|^2$$

for every $f \in H$. It turns out that $(\Psi_n)_n$ is a Bessel sequence if and only if there exists a bounded operator $T : \ell^2 \rightarrow H$ such that $T(e_n) = \Psi_n$, where $(e_n)_n$ denote the canonical unit vectors of ℓ^2 ([2, Theorem 3.2.3]).

Bessel multipliers were introduced and studied in a systematic way by Balazs [1] as a generalization of the Gabor multipliers considered in [4]. In [1] it is proved that each Bessel multiplier is unconditionally

convergent. Balazs and Stoeva [9] provide examples of non-Bessel sequences and non-bounded symbols defining unconditionally convergent multipliers. However all the examples are obtained from a Bessel multiplier after some trick. In fact, Balazs and Stoeva *conjecture* in [8] that every unconditionally convergent multiplier can be written as a Bessel multiplier with constant symbol by shifting weights. More precisely, if $M_{m,\Phi,\Psi} : H \rightarrow H$ is an unconditionally convergent multiplier, then they conjecture that there exist scalar sequences $(a_n)_n$, $(b_n)_n$ such that

$$m_n = a_n \cdot \bar{b}_n$$

and

$$(a_n \Phi_n)_n, (b_n \Psi_n)_n$$

are Bessel sequences in H . Several classes of multipliers for which the conjecture is true are obtained in [8].

In the particular case that $m_n = 1$ and $\Psi_n = g$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the conjecture has a positive answer if and only if for every unconditionally summable sequence $(\Phi_n)_n$ in a separable Hilbert space H we may find $(\alpha_n)_n \in \ell^2$ such that $(\frac{1}{\alpha_n} \Phi_n)_n$ is a Bessel sequence in H . So, the main aim of the present paper is to analyze the structure of unconditionally summable sequences in a separable Hilbert space. As a consequence we obtain some new situations where the conjecture of Balasz and Stoeva is still true, which are different in spirit to the ones considered in [8].

2. RESULTS

We will use the well known fact that a series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n$ in a Banach space X is unconditionally convergent if and only if there exist a compact operator $T : c_0 \rightarrow X$ with the property that $T(e_n) = x_n$, where $(e_n)_n$ denote the canonical unit vectors of c_0 (see for instance the omnibus theorem on unconditional summability in [3, 1.9]). We recall that, in the case that $X = H$ is a Hilbert space, every bounded operator $T : c_0 \rightarrow H$ is compact. In fact, the closed unit ball B of H is weakly compact, the transposed map $T^* : H \rightarrow \ell^1$ is a bounded operator and weak and norm convergence of sequences in ℓ^1 coincide ([3, Theorem 1.7]). Therefore T^* is a compact operator and so is T .

From the previous considerations we conclude that a series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n$ in a Hilbert space H is unconditionally convergent if and only if there exists a bounded operator $T : c_0 \rightarrow H$ with the property that $T(e_n) = x_n$. An important consequence is the fact that the unconditionally convergence of $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n$ is equivalent to

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\langle x_n, g \rangle| < \infty \quad \forall g \in H.$$

This is so because if the last condition is satisfied then, by closed graph theorem, $S : H \rightarrow \ell^1$, $S(g) := (\langle x_n, g \rangle)_n$, defines a bounded operator and $T = S^* : \ell^\infty \rightarrow H$ verifies $T(e_n) = x_n$.

For a fixed sequence $\alpha = (\alpha_n)_n$ the diagonal operator D_α acts on a sequence $x = (x_n)_n$ as

$$D_\alpha(x) = (\alpha_n x_n)_n.$$

If $\alpha \in \ell^2$ then $D_\alpha : \ell^\infty \rightarrow \ell^2$ is a bounded operator, while $D_\alpha : \ell^2 \rightarrow \ell^2$ whenever $\alpha \in c_0$.

Lemma 1. *The following statements are equivalent:*

- (a) *Every unconditionally summable sequence $(\Phi_n)_n$ in H can be written as $\Phi_n = \alpha_n f_n$, where $(\alpha_n)_n \in \ell^2$ and $(f_n)_n$ is a Bessel sequence in H .*
- (b) *Every bounded operator $T : c_0 \rightarrow H$ can be factorized as*

$$T = A \circ D_\alpha$$

where $D_\alpha : c_0 \rightarrow \ell^2$ is a diagonal operator and $A : \ell^2 \rightarrow H$ is a bounded operator.

Proof. (a) $\Rightarrow$ (b). If $T : c_0 \rightarrow H$ is bounded then $(\Phi_n)_n = (T(e_n))_n$ is unconditionally summable ([3, Theorem 1.9]), hence $T(e_n) = \alpha_n f_n$, where $\alpha = (\alpha_n)_n \in \ell^2$ and $(f_n)_n$ is a Bessel sequence in H . Therefore $(f_n)_n$ defines a bounded operator $A : \ell^2 \rightarrow H$, $A(\beta) = \sum_n \beta_n f_n$, and $T = A \circ D_\alpha$.

(b) $\Rightarrow$ (a). Let $(\Phi_n)_n$ be an unconditionally summable sequence in H . Then there is a bounded operator $T : c_0 \rightarrow H$ such that $T(e_n) = \Phi_n$ and, by hypothesis, it can be factorized as $T = A \circ D_\alpha$ where $\alpha \in \ell^2$ and $A : \ell^2 \rightarrow H$ is a bounded operator. Then $(f_n)_n := (A(e_n))_n$ is a Bessel sequence in H and clearly $\Phi_n = A(\alpha_n e_n) = \alpha_n f_n$. $\square$

Remark 2. In the same spirit, the conjecture in [8] has a positive answer for a given unconditionally convergent multiplier $M_{m,\Phi,\Psi}$ on ℓ^2 if and only if the continuous bilinear map

$$T : c_0 \times \ell^2 \rightarrow \ell^2, \quad (\alpha, f) \mapsto \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n m_n \langle f, \Psi_n \rangle \Phi_n,$$

admits a factorization

$$T = B \circ D$$

where $B : \ell^2 \rightarrow \ell^2$ is a bounded operator and $D : c_0 \times \ell^2 \rightarrow \ell^2$ is a continuous bilinear map such that for every $f \in \ell^2$, $D(\cdot, f) : c_0 \rightarrow \ell^2$ is a diagonal operator.

We recall that any bounded operator $B : c_0 \rightarrow \ell^\infty$, $B(e_j) = (b_j^i)_i$, has the property that $b^i := (b_j^i)_j \in \ell^1$ for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and

$$\|B\| = \sup_i \|b^i\|_{\ell^1}.$$

The next result can be viewed as an improvement of Orlicz's Theorem (see for instance [3, Theorem 1.11] or [5, Theorem 3.16]) that every unconditionally summable sequence in a Hilbert space is absolutely 2-summable. It is the main result of the paper.

Theorem 3. *Every unconditionally summable sequence $(\Phi_n)_n$ in a separable Hilbert space H can be expressed as $\Phi_n = \bar{a}_n f_n$, where $(a_n)_n \in \ell^2$ and $(f_n)_n$ is a Bessel sequence in H .*

Proof. By Lemma 1 it is enough to show that every bounded operator $T : c_0 \rightarrow H$ can be factorized as $T = A \circ D_\alpha$, where $\alpha \in \ell^2$ and $A : \ell^2 \rightarrow H$ is a bounded operator. According to [3, 3.7 and 5.9], T is a 2-integral operator, hence it is 2-nuclear ([7, Theorem 5]). Therefore there are bounded operators $B : c_0 \rightarrow \ell^\infty$, $S : \ell^2 \rightarrow H$ and $\lambda \in \ell^2$ such that $T = S \circ D_\lambda \circ B$ [6, Theorem 19.7.4]. To finish it suffices to find $\alpha \in \ell^2$ and a bounded operator $\tilde{A}$ on ℓ^2 such that $D_\lambda \circ B = \tilde{A} \circ D_\alpha$, since then

$$T = A \circ D_\alpha,$$

with $A = S \circ \tilde{A}$.

As $D_\lambda \circ B = D_{t\lambda} \circ (t^{-1}B)$ for each $t > 0$, without loss of generality we can assume $\|B\| = 1$. We denote $B(e_j) = (b_j^i)_i$ and $b^i := (b_j^i)_j \in \ell^1$. We define $\alpha = (\alpha_k)_k$ such that

$$|\alpha_k|^2 := \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_i|^2 \cdot |b_k^i|.$$

Then

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\alpha_k|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_i|^2 \cdot \|b^i\|_{\ell^1} \leq \|\lambda\|_{\ell^2}^2, \quad (1)$$

hence $\alpha \in \ell^2$. Next, we consider

$$f_k := \frac{1}{\alpha_k} (\lambda_i b_k^i)_i, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Since $|b_k^i|^2 \leq |b_k^i|$, the inequality (1) implies that $f_k \in \ell^2$. To finish the proof, we have to show that there is a bounded operator $\tilde{A}$ on ℓ^2 such that $\tilde{A}(e_k) = f_k$, that is, $(f_k)_k$ is a Bessel sequence in ℓ^2 . To this end, we fix $\beta = (\beta_k)_k \in \ell^2$ and $\gamma = (\gamma_k)_k \in \ell^2$. Then,

$$\sum_{k=1}^N |\beta_k \langle f_k, \gamma \rangle| \leq \sum_{k=1}^N \frac{|\beta_k|}{|\alpha_k|} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_j \gamma_j| \cdot |b_k^j|$$

4

for all $N \in \mathbb{N}$. As $\lambda, \gamma \in \ell^2$,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_j \gamma_j| \cdot |b_k^j| &\leq \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_j|^2 \cdot |b_k^j| \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\gamma_j|^2 \cdot |b_k^j| \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= |\alpha_k| \cdot \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\gamma_j|^2 \cdot |b_k^j| \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\gamma_j|^2 \cdot |b_k^j| = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\gamma_j|^2 \cdot \|b^j\|_{\ell^1} \leq \|\gamma\|_{\ell^2}^2.$$

This means that

$$\left(\left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\gamma_j|^2 \cdot |b_k^j| \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in \ell^2.$$

Hence,

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\beta_k \langle f_k, \gamma \rangle|$$

is less than or equal to

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\beta_k| \cdot \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\gamma_j|^2 \cdot |b_k^j| \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} < \infty.$$

Since this holds for every $\beta \in \ell^2$ we conclude that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\langle f_k, \gamma \rangle|^2 < \infty$$

for every $\gamma \in \ell^2$. Now, the closed graph theorem gives the conclusion. $\square$

$\square$

Theorem 3 gives a positive answer to the conjecture when $(\Psi_n)_n$ is a constant sequence. Next we consider a more general situation.

Corollary 4. *Let $M_{m, \Phi, \Psi}$ be an unconditionally convergent multiplier and assume that 0 is not a weak accumulation point of the sequence $\left(\frac{\Psi_n}{\|\Psi_n\|} \right)_n$. Then there exist scalar sequences $(a_n)_n, (b_n)_n$ such that $m_n = a_n \cdot \bar{b}_n$ and $(a_n \Phi_n)_n, (b_n \Psi_n)_n$ are Bessel sequences in H .*

Proof. In fact, our hypothesis implies the existence of finitely many elements $f_1, \dots, f_K \in H$ with the property that

$$\sum_{k=1}^K \left| \left\langle f_k, \frac{\Psi_n}{\|\Psi_n\|} \right\rangle \right| \geq 1$$

for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $M_{m,\Phi,\Psi}$ is an unconditionally convergent multiplier we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\langle f, \Psi_n \rangle| \cdot |\langle \Phi_n, g \rangle| < \infty$$

for every $f, g \in H$. Consequently

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |m_n| \cdot \|\Psi_n\| \cdot |\langle \Phi_n, g \rangle| \leq \sum_{k=1}^K \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\langle f_k, \Psi_n \rangle| \cdot |\langle \Phi_n, g \rangle| < \infty$$

for every $g \in H$. It follows that the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} m_n \|\Psi_n\| \Phi_n$ is unconditionally convergent and we can apply Theorem 3 to find a sequence $(b_n)_n \in \ell^2$ such that $\left(\frac{m_n}{b_n} \|\Psi_n\| \Phi_n\right)_n$ is a Bessel sequence. Since also $\left(b_n \frac{\Psi_n}{\|\Psi_n\|}\right)_n$ is a Bessel sequence, the conclusion follows. $\square$

By Orlicz's Theorem, in the case that $(\Psi_n)_n$ is constant, the unconditional convergence of the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} m_n \langle f, \Psi_n \rangle \Phi_n$ implies that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (|m_n| \|\Phi_n\| \|\Psi_n\|)^2 < \infty.$$

In particular, the sequence $(m_n \cdot \|\Phi_n\| \cdot \|\Psi_n\|)_n$ converges to zero and [8, Proposition 1.1] cannot be applied. Obviously, in Corollary 4, the condition on the sequence $(\Psi_n)_n$ can be replaced by a similar condition on $(\Phi_n)_n$. The following result shows that the conjecture stated by Balazs and Stoeva in [8] holds under the stronger hypothesis of absolute convergence of the series. The proof depends on Theorem 3 and it does not follow from the results in [8].

Theorem 5. *Let $M_{m,\Phi,\Psi}$ be such that for each $f \in H$, the series*

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} m_n \langle f, \Psi_n \rangle \Phi_n$$

converges absolutely in H . Then there exist scalar sequences $(a_n)_n$ and $(b_n)_n$ such that $m_n = a_n \cdot \overline{b_n}$, and $(b_n \Psi_n)_n$ and $(a_n \Phi_n)_n$ are Bessel sequences in H .

Proof. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\|\Phi_n\| = 1$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. The condition $(m_n \langle f, \Psi_n \rangle)_n \in \ell^1$ for every $f \in H$ implies that the sequence $(\overline{m_n} \Psi_n)_n$ is unconditionally summable in H , therefore by Theorem 3, there is $(c_n)_n \in \ell^2$ such that $\left(\frac{\overline{m_n}}{c_n} \Psi_n\right)_n$ is a Bessel sequence. As $(\overline{c_n} \Phi_n)_n$ is also a Bessel sequence, we conclude. $\square$ $\square$

As a consequence of the previous result, it is easy to see that the absolute convergence of $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} m_n \langle f, \Psi_n \rangle \Phi_n$ (for every f in H) implies the unconditional convergence of the series

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} m_n \Psi_n \otimes \Phi_n$$

in the Hilbert space $S^2(H)$ of Hilbert-Schmidt operators on H .

Let B_H denote the closed unit ball of H endowed with the weak topology and μ a probability Borel measure on B_H . Then we have the canonical continuous inclusion

$$j_\mu : H \rightarrow L^2(B_H, \mu), \quad j_\mu(f)(g) := \langle f, g \rangle.$$

Theorem 6. *Let B_H denote the closed unit ball of H endowed with the weak topology and assume that the multiplier $M_{m, \Phi, \Psi}$ has the additional property that the series*

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} m_n \Psi_n \otimes \Phi_n$$

converges unconditionally in $S^2(H)$. Then, for every probability Borel measure μ on B_H there exist scalar sequences $(a_n)_n$, $(b_n)_n$ such that $m_n = a_n \cdot \bar{b}_n$, $(a_n \Psi_n)_n$ is a Bessel sequence in H and $(j_\mu(b_n \Phi_n))_n$ is a Bessel sequence in $L^2(B_H, \mu)$. In particular

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\langle f, b_n \Phi_n \rangle|^2 < \infty$$

for μ -almost every $f \in B_H$.

Proof. In fact, according to Theorem 3 there is $(\alpha_n)_n \in \ell^2$ such that

$$\left(\frac{m_n \Psi_n \otimes \Phi_n}{\alpha_n} \right)_n$$

is a Bessel sequence in $S^2(H)$. In particular, for some constant $C > 0$,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left| \frac{m_n}{\alpha_n} \langle f, \Psi_n \rangle \langle g, \Phi_n \rangle \right|^2 \leq C \|f\|^2 \cdot \|g\|^2 \quad (2)$$

for every $f, g \in B_H$. We now consider

$$a_n^2 := \left| \frac{m_n}{\alpha_n} \right|^2 \int_{B_H} |\langle g, \Phi_n \rangle|^2 d\mu(g).$$

After integrating in (2) we obtain that $(a_n \Psi_n)_n$ is a Bessel sequence. Moreover, for $b_n = \frac{\bar{m}_n}{a_n}$ we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{B_H} |\langle f, b_n \Phi_n \rangle|^2 d\mu(f) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n^2 < \infty,$$

from where the conclusion follows. $\square$

$\square$

REFERENCES

- [1] P. Balazs, *Basic definition and properties of Bessel multipliers*. J. Math. Anal. Appl. **325** (2007), 571–585.
- [2] O. Christensen, *An introduction to frames and Riesz bases*. Applied and Numerical Harmonic Analysis. Birkhuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 2003.
- [3] J. Diestel, H. Jarchow, A. Tonge, *Absolutely summing operators*. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics **43**. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995.
- [4] H.G. Feichtinger, K. Nowak, *A first survey of Gabor multipliers*, in: Advances in Gabor analysis, Birkhäuser, Boston, 2003, pp.99–128 (Chapter 5).
- [5] C. Heil, *A basis theory primer*. Applied and Numerical Harmonic Analysis. Birkhäuser/Springer, New York, 2011.
- [6] H. Jarchow, *Locally convex spaces*. Mathematical Textbooks **548** (1981) B. G. Teubner, Stuttgart.
- [7] A. Persson, *On some properties of p -nuclear and p -integral operators*. Studia Math. **33** (1969), 213–222.
- [8] D.T. Stoeva, P. Balazs, *Canonical forms of unconditionally convergent multipliers*. J. Math. Anal. Appl. **399** (2013), 252–259.
- [9] D.T. Stoeva, P. Balazs, *Detailed characterization of conditions for the unconditional convergence and invertibility of multipliers*. Sampl. Theory Signal Image Process. **12** (2013), 87–125.